| Agenda<br>Item No | Торіс | Decision |
|-------------------|-------|----------|
| item NO           |       |          |

Note: this decision list is for guidance only. The text of the minutes, which may be different, is definitive.

### Part A – Items considered in public

| A1 | APPLICATION TO VARY A<br>PREMISES LICENCE AT ALBAY<br>FOOD & WINE, 123 MAWNEY<br>ROAD, RM7 7BH | PREMISES         Albay Food and Wine,         123 Mawney Road.         Romford,         RM7 7BH         DETAILS OF APPLICATION         An application to vary a premises lic         Act").         APPLICANTS         Mr Dogan Albay         1 Forest Gardens,         Tottenham,         London. N17 6XA         1.       Details of the application:         The current licence is for: | Licensing Act 2003<br>Notice of Decision | the Licensing Act 2003 ("the |
|----|--|---|--|------------------------------|
|    |  | Supply of Alcohol (on supply on   | (b)                                      |                              |
|    |  | Day   | Start                                    | Finish                       |
|    |  | Sunday to Wednesday   | 10:30hrs                                 | 22:30hrs                     |
|    |  | Thursday to Saturday  | 10:00hrs                                 | 23:00hrs                     |

| Agenda<br>Item No | Торіс | Decision |
|-------------------|-------|----------|
|                   |       |          |

| Varia | tion applied for:  |                                |   |
|-------|--|--------------------------------|---|
| Sup   | ply of Alcohol (on supply only   | ()                             |   |
| Day   |  | Start                          | Finish  |
| Sun   | day to Wednesday   | 08:00hrs                       | 23:00hrs  |
| Thu   | rsday to Saturday  | 08:00hrs                       | 00:00hrs  |
| 2.    | Seasonal variations and no   | -                              |   |
|       | There are no seasonal variation  | ons nor non-standard timing    | is attached to this application.                      |
| 3.    | Comments and observation   | s on the application           |   |
|       | The applicant acted in accordate<br>to the advertising of the applic<br>installed in the Yellow Advertis | ation. The required newspa     | regulations 25 and 26 relating aper advertisement was |
| Sum   | mary   |                                |   |
|       | There were three valid represe   | entations against this applic  | ation from interested parties.                        |
|       | There were no representation   | s against this application fro | om responsible authorities.                           |
| 5.    | Details of representations   |                                |   |
|       | Valid representations may onl  | y address the following licer  | nsing objectives:                                     |

| Agenda<br>Item No | Торіс | Decision |
|-------------------|-------|----------|
|-------------------|-------|----------|

|  |    | The prevention of crime and disorder<br>The prevention of public nuisance<br>The protection of children from harm<br>Public safety  |
|--|----|---|
|  | 6. | Representations   |
|  |    | Interested parties' representations   |
|  |    | There were representation from three interested parties.  |
|  |    | The first representation provides opposition to this application based upon all four licensing objectives. The representation details the belief that the premises was subject to a review for allowing underage sales. This was factually correct and occurred in 2010. The current licence holder has only been in place since 2012. The representation also alluded to the fact that Part P of the operating schedule made no mention of several issues. The current premises licence covers these issues and there has been no application to vary them, so they will remain. |
|  |    | The second representation raised concerns that the premises would attract further young drinkers who populate the bus shelter outside the premises causing <i>"possible disorder, public nuisance and crime".</i>   |
|  |    | The third representation also raised concerns about youths causing a disturbance at the bus stop outside the premises.  |
|  |    | Responsible Authorities' representations  |

| Agenda<br>Item No | Торіс | Decision |
|-------------------|-------|----------|
|-------------------|-------|----------|

| There were no representations from the following responsible authorities:  |
|--|
| The Licensing Authority<br>The Metropolitan Police<br>Public Health<br>The London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority<br>The Health & Safety Enforcing Authority<br>The Trading Standards Service<br>Planning Control & Enforcement<br>Children & Families Service |
| 7. Determination of Application  |
| Decision:  |
| Consequent upon the hearing held on 28 March 2013, the Sub-Committee's decision regarding the application for a variation to a Premises Licence for Albay Food & Wine is as set out below, for the reasons shown:  |
| The Sub-Committee was obliged to determine this application with a view to promoting the licensing objectives, which are:  |
| <ul> <li>The prevention of crime and disorder</li> <li>Public safety</li> <li>The prevention of public nuisance</li> <li>The protection of children from harm</li> </ul>   |
| In making its decision, the Sub-Committee also had regard to the Guidance issued under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 and Havering's Licensing Policy.  |

| Agenda<br>Item No | Торіс | Decision |
|-------------------|-------|----------|
|                   |       |          |

| In addition, the Sub-Committee took account of its obligations under Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, and Articles 1 and 8 of the First Protocol of the Human Rights Act 1998.  |
|---|
| The Sub-Committee stated that in arriving at this decision, it took into account the licensing objectives as contained in the Licensing Act 2003, the Licensing Guidelines, as well as Havering Council's Licensing Policy.   |
| In presenting the case for the applicant his solicitor Mr Dadds referred to the representation submitted by Graham Hopkins, as G T Licensing Consultant. This was a representation which he considered to be vexatious. He advised the Committee that Mawney Road was a busy road and a bus route.                      |
| Another of the complaints had referred to the metal shutter, this had been replaced 6 months ago with an electric shutter which was quieter than the previous shutter. The complaints regarding the bus shelter were not something which had been brought to the applicant's attention by those making representations. |
| Having considered the written representations submitted and the responses from the applicant's legal advisor the Sub-Committee could see no reason to refuse to grant the application for a variation.  |
| Accordingly the Sub-Committee granted the variation as applied for.   |